

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/892,647 | 06/28/2001 | Joo Soo Lim | 8733.442.00 | 8733.442.00 2011 | |
| 30827 7 | 590 07/21/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP | | | DHARIA, PRABODH M | | |
| 1900 K STREE WASHINGTO | EI, NW N, DC 20006 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 2629 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/21/2000 | 6 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 09/892,647 | LIM, JOO SOO | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Prabodh M. Dharia | 2629 | | |

| • | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Prabodh M. Dharia | 2629 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATION TO CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin | g date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | - TINOT NEI ET WAOT | ILLD WITHIN | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | - maining and an | ,, o , | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11 | | maliant Amandment | (DTOL 224) | | | | |
| | | impliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the | | | | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 8-11 and 15. | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 12-14. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | • | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | t be entered s necessary and | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ls to provide a 1). | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attachment. | ~ | | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/892,647

Art Unit: 2629

1. Status: Please all the replies and correspondence should be addressed to examiner's new

Page 2

art unit 2629. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted on 06-26-2006 under request for

reconsideration, which have been placed of record in the file. Claims 1-15 are pending in this

action.

Response to Amended Claims after final

2. Applicant's request for reconsideration with arguments to claims 1-7 and 12-14, received

on 06-26-2006 are entered however, it does not place the application in condition for allowance

as they do require further consideration and search, since they were not presented before final

office action.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 06-26-2006 on the basis of rejected claims have been

fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues cited reference of Kudoh does teach sequential reverse order scanning,

however the Kudoh's technical purpose and structure are different from those of instant

application.

Examiner argues back as, matrix type typical display structure with reverse order

scanning, producing better brightness are well known in the art; as per Kudoh's teaching (pages

Art Unit: 2629

5 and 6, paragraph 47). However, the rejected independent claims 1 and 12 fail to recite (technical purpose and structure) allowable limitation "applying a mode setting signal to a gate driver connected with the gate lines; sequentially scanning the gate lines in a direction identified by the mode setting signal (reverse order) to display a test pattern on the display panel at an increased brightness; and identifying any defective pixel cells among the plurality of liquid crystal pixel cells from the test pattern" recited in the final office actions mailed on 03-24-2006.

Page 3

Conclusion

- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prabodh M. Dharia whose telephone number is 571-272-7668. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM to 5PM.
- 5. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,647

Art Unit: 2629

Page 4

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

PD

AU2629

07-17-2006

BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600